

Mr. Harold Weisberg  
7627 Old Receiver Rd.  
Frederick, MD 21701  
Dec. 3, 1995

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

I first became aware of you in 1966, November if I recall accurately, when I was home on leave from the Air Force. I remember viewing the television debate that you were part of between critics and supporters of the "Warren Report". If memory serves, Mark Lane and Jim Bishop were also present, Mr. Bishop being a defender of the report. I recall, too, the eerie feeling of being made privy to some dark secret--which is exactly what had taken place! I know what made it stand out so well in my memory was the fact that prior to watching the debate I don't recall having doubts about the veracity of the "Warren Report", after viewing it I've had nothing except doubts. I think it was during that same month in 1966 that I purchased the Dell edition of "Whitewash", which I still possess.

In that regard, I recently obtained a copy of "High Treason 2" and read of all the volumes of "Whitewash" being available from you directly, please send me details on purchasing them.

Through the years, on and off, I've read quite a bit on the Dallas assassination, which, I suppose, puts me into the ranks of the dilettante. Yet, there is one point that I do not recall being addressed in much detail in what I've so far read, it may be a minor item very easily explained. Could you, perhaps, spare a moment<sup>to</sup> offer me your opinion on the matter? My question is this: if Mr. Oswald was the innocent "patsy" that we believe him to be, how could, or did, the conspirators guarantee his whereabouts during the critical time that the shooting took place? I mean suppose he decided to step outside for a few seconds to view the passing vehicles, or suppose someone else had decided to sit-out the commotion in the lunchroom and could therefore provide Oswald with an ironclad alibi for the assassination? With all of the planning that surely went into the event it seems an awful burden to place on the "hope" that no one would see

or converse with Oswald when the shots were fired. (I mean, I can hardly imagine the conspirators closing their eyes and crossing their fingers during that most important few minutes!)

I once read, in a little-known book which only partially discussed the assassination, that there was an alternative site and fall-guy in waiting at a lumber yard should the motorcade have taken the most direct route to the location of the planned speech. Since Lee Harvey Oswald made such a "perfect" patsy with his fake pro-leftist legend it is difficult to imagine another, because he is so familiar to us, yet, who would now know his name if not for the events of November 22, 1963?

Well, Mr. Weisberg, I suppose I've taken up enough of your time and I thank you for it and any information you may offer.

Sincerely,

*Thomas J. Bores*

Thomas J. Bores  
1312 W. Liebau Rd.  
Mequon, WI  
53092



12/8/95

Dear Mr. Bores,

Thanks for your letter. Our price list is enclosed. Thanks, too, for the SASE.

You ask how the conspirators could guarantee <sup>his</sup> presence at the critical time.

Aside from knowing he should have been there because he worked there ~~they~~ did not really require his presence and the government's records actually prove he was not at that window at the time the shots were fired. <sup>Could</sup> not have been. It was enough to be able to trace him to that rifle. His presence was not required for that.

Remember also that all the conspirators required was a bit of lead time to let the actual assassins get away.

You refer to another scenario you read.

Most of the books supposedly on the assassination mislead and misinform in many ways.

As you~~va~~ will see in my NEVR AGAIN! which is in your bookstore or it can get it, the crime itself was never investigated so there are no leads ~~for~~ private persons to follow.

In short, a solution is ~~unlike~~ unlikely.

Best wishes,

*Harold Winick*